

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/644,417	08/20/2003	Jack Hwang	ITL.0764D1US (P14416D)	ITL.0764D1US (P14416D) 6957	
75	90 09/29/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.			PRENTY,	PRENTY, MARK V	
Suite 100					
8554 Katy Freeway			ARTUNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Houston, TX	77024		2822		
			DATE MAILED: 09/29/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/644,417	HWANG ET AL.					
Advisory Addion	Examiner	Art Unit					
	MARK V PRENTY	2822	1				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 21 September 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in the same of this application in the same of the same	cation. A proper rep	oly to a cation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moteraned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S (36(a) and the appropriate extended to the final Office action; or the final Office action of the	See MPEP extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	s Brief must be filed within the p R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	period set forth in of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	implifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ns.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):	•					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	eparate, timely filed	amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	r reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NC	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	· · ·	to issues which we	re newly				
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 20-24.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	 .					
10. Other:		Mark V. Prer	renty				
		Mark V. Prer Primary Exam	nty niner				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it does not even mention the rejection of claims 20-24 under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Yu. Furthermore, it doesn't squarely address the rejection of claims 20, 23 and 24 under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Liu, but instead vaguely discusses amorphous structure (to the extent such is even relevant to the rejection based on Liu, the examiner respectfully notes Liu's disclosure: "After the laser exposure the liquid phase silicon converts back to solid crystalline silicon and the dopants are incorporated into the lattice" - paragraph [0019], last sentence). Finally, the applicant's remark: "since the [Liu] reference does not talk about any type of strained junction, it is hard to believe that one is formed," is not understood, particularly given the fact that the applicant's own specification (paragraph [0023]) evidences that Liu's source/drain regions 122 are strained (by virtue of the different germanium and P-type impurity concentrations therein).